

# Semidualizing Modules for Rings of Codimension Two

Susan M. Cooper   Sean Sather-Wagstaff

<sup>1</sup>University of Nebraska-Lincoln

<sup>2</sup>North Dakota State University

16 October 2009  
AMS Central Section Meeting  
Baylor University

# Dualizing and Semidualizing Modules

**Assumption.**  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is a local Cohen-Macaulay ring with a dualizing module  $D$ .

# Dualizing and Semidualizing Modules

**Assumption.**  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is a local Cohen-Macaulay ring with a dualizing module  $D$ .

**Definition.** (Foxby, Golod, Vasconcelos, Wakamatsu)  
A finitely generated  $R$ -module  $C$  is **semidualizing** if

- (1) the natural map  $\chi_C^R: R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$  is bijective; and
- (2)  $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, C) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

An  $R$ -module  $D$  is **dualizing** if it is semidualizing and  $\text{id}(D) < \infty$ .

# Dualizing and Semidualizing Modules

**Assumption.**  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is a local Cohen-Macaulay ring with a dualizing module  $D$ .

**Definition.** (Foxby, Golod, Vasconcelos, Wakamatsu)  
A finitely generated  $R$ -module  $C$  is **semidualizing** if

- (1) the natural map  $\chi_C^R: R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$  is bijective; and
- (2)  $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, C) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

An  $R$ -module  $D$  is **dualizing** if it is semidualizing and  $\text{id}(D) < \infty$ .

**Fact.** The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $R$  is Gorenstein;
- (ii)  $D \cong R$ ;
- (iii)  $\beta_i^R(D) = 0$  for some (equivalently, all)  $i \geq 1$ ; and

# Dualizing and Semidualizing Modules

**Assumption.**  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is a local Cohen-Macaulay ring with a dualizing module  $D$ .

**Definition.** (Foxby, Golod, Vasconcelos, Wakamatsu)  
A finitely generated  $R$ -module  $C$  is **semidualizing** if

- (1) the natural map  $\chi_C^R: R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$  is bijective; and
- (2)  $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, C) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

An  $R$ -module  $D$  is **dualizing** if it is semidualizing and  $\text{id}(D) < \infty$ .

**Fact.** The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $R$  is Gorenstein;
- (ii)  $D \cong R$ ;
- (iii)  $\beta_i^R(D) = 0$  for some (equivalently, all)  $i \geq 1$ ; and
- (iv)  $R$  has a unique semidualizing module.

# Semidualizing Modules and Bass Numbers

**Question.** (Huneke) If the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is bounded, must  $R$  be Gorenstein?

# Semidualizing Modules and Bass Numbers

**Question.** (Huneke) If the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is bounded, must  $R$  be Gorenstein?

**Theorem.** (SSW, 2008) *If  $C$  is a semidualizing  $R$ -module such that  $D \not\cong C \not\cong R$ , then the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is unbounded.*

# Semidualizing Modules and Bass Numbers

**Question.** (Huneke) If the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is bounded, must  $R$  be Gorenstein?

**Theorem.** (SSW, 2008) *If  $C$  is a semidualizing  $R$ -module such that  $D \not\cong C \not\cong R$ , then the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is unbounded.*

**Tools for proof.**



# Semidualizing Modules and Bass Numbers

**Question.** (Huneke) If the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is bounded, must  $R$  be Gorenstein?

**Theorem.** (SSW, 2008) *If  $C$  is a semidualizing  $R$ -module such that  $D \not\cong C \not\cong R$ , then the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is unbounded.*

**Tools for proof.**

(a) The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $C \cong R$ ;      (ii)  $C$  is cyclic;      (iii)  $\text{pd}_R(C) < \infty$ .

# Semidualizing Modules and Bass Numbers

**Question.** (Huneke) If the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is bounded, must  $R$  be Gorenstein?

**Theorem.** (SSW, 2008) *If  $C$  is a semidualizing  $R$ -module such that  $D \not\cong C \not\cong R$ , then the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is unbounded.*

**Tools for proof.**

(a) The following conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $C \cong R$ ;      (ii)  $C$  is cyclic;      (iii)  $\text{pd}_R(C) < \infty$ .

(b)  $C^\dagger = \text{Hom}_R(C, D)$  is semidualizing, and  $C^{\dagger\dagger} \cong C$ .

# Semidualizing Modules and Bass Numbers

**Question.** (Huneke) If the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is bounded, must  $R$  be Gorenstein?

**Theorem.** (SSW, 2008) *If  $C$  is a semidualizing  $R$ -module such that  $D \not\cong C \not\cong R$ , then the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is unbounded.*

## Tools for proof.

(a) The following conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $C \cong R$ ;      (ii)  $C$  is cyclic;      (iii)  $\text{pd}_R(C) < \infty$ .

(b)  $C^\dagger = \text{Hom}_R(C, D)$  is semidualizing, and  $C^{\dagger\dagger} \cong C$ .

(c)  $C \cong D$  if and only if  $\text{pd}_R(C^\dagger) < \infty$ .

# Semidualizing Modules and Bass Numbers

**Question.** (Huneke) If the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is bounded, must  $R$  be Gorenstein?

**Theorem.** (SSW, 2008) *If  $C$  is a semidualizing  $R$ -module such that  $D \not\cong C \not\cong R$ , then the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is unbounded.*

## Tools for proof.

(a) The following conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $C \cong R$ ;      (ii)  $C$  is cyclic;      (iii)  $\text{pd}_R(C) < \infty$ .

(b)  $C^\dagger = \text{Hom}_R(C, D)$  is semidualizing, and  $C^{\dagger\dagger} \cong C$ .

(c)  $C \cong D$  if and only if  $\text{pd}_R(C^\dagger) < \infty$ .

(d)  $D \cong C \otimes_R C^\dagger$  and  $\text{Tor}_{\geq 1}^R(C, C^\dagger) = 0$ .

# Semidualizing Modules and Bass Numbers

**Question.** (Huneke) If the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is bounded, must  $R$  be Gorenstein?

**Theorem.** (SSW, 2008) *If  $C$  is a semidualizing  $R$ -module such that  $D \not\cong C \not\cong R$ , then the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is unbounded.*

## Tools for proof.

(a) The following conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $C \cong R$ ;      (ii)  $C$  is cyclic;      (iii)  $\text{pd}_R(C) < \infty$ .

(b)  $C^\dagger = \text{Hom}_R(C, D)$  is semidualizing, and  $C^{\dagger\dagger} \cong C$ .

(c)  $C \cong D$  if and only if  $\text{pd}_R(C^\dagger) < \infty$ .

(d)  $D \cong C \otimes_R C^\dagger$  and  $\text{Tor}_{\geq 1}^R(C, C^\dagger) = 0$ .

(e)  $\beta_i^R(D) = \sum_{j=0}^i \beta_j^R(C) \beta_{i-j}^R(C^\dagger)$ .

# Semidualizing Modules and Bass Numbers, cont.

**Theorem.** (SSW, 2008) *If  $C$  is a semidualizing  $R$ -module such that  $D \not\cong C \not\cong R$ , then the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is unbounded.*

# Semidualizing Modules and Bass Numbers, cont.

**Theorem.** (SSW, 2008) *If  $C$  is a semidualizing  $R$ -module such that  $D \not\cong C \not\cong R$ , then the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is unbounded.*

*Proof.* Since  $C \not\cong R$ , we have  $\beta_i^R(C) \geq 1$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .

# Semidualizing Modules and Bass Numbers, cont.

**Theorem.** (SSW, 2008) *If  $C$  is a semidualizing  $R$ -module such that  $D \not\cong C \not\cong R$ , then the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is unbounded.*

*Proof.* Since  $C \not\cong R$ , we have  $\beta_i^R(C) \geq 1$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .

Since  $C \not\cong D$ , we have  $\beta_i^R(C^\dagger) \geq 1$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .



# Semidualizing Modules and Bass Numbers, cont.

**Theorem.** (SSW, 2008) *If  $C$  is a semidualizing  $R$ -module such that  $D \not\cong C \not\cong R$ , then the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is unbounded.*

*Proof.* Since  $C \not\cong R$ , we have  $\beta_i^R(C) \geq 1$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .

Since  $C \not\cong D$ , we have  $\beta_i^R(C^\dagger) \geq 1$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .

It follows that  $\beta_i^R(D) = \sum_{j=0}^i \beta_j^R(C)\beta_{i-j}^R(C^\dagger) \geq i + 1$ . □

# Semidualizing Modules and Bass Numbers, cont.

**Theorem.** (SSW, 2008) *If  $C$  is a semidualizing  $R$ -module such that  $D \not\cong C \not\cong R$ , then the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is unbounded.*

*Proof.* Since  $C \not\cong R$ , we have  $\beta_i^R(C) \geq 1$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .

Since  $C \not\cong D$ , we have  $\beta_i^R(C^\dagger) \geq 1$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .

It follows that  $\beta_i^R(D) = \sum_{j=0}^i \beta_j^R(C)\beta_{i-j}^R(C^\dagger) \geq i + 1$ . □

**Strategy.** Identify all  $R$  for which the only two semidualizing modules (up to isomorphism) are  $R$  and  $D$ . These are the only rings where Huneke's question is still open.

# Semidualizing Modules and Bass Numbers, cont.

**Theorem.** (SSW, 2008) *If  $C$  is a semidualizing  $R$ -module such that  $D \not\cong C \not\cong R$ , then the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is unbounded.*

*Proof.* Since  $C \not\cong R$ , we have  $\beta_i^R(C) \geq 1$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .

Since  $C \not\cong D$ , we have  $\beta_i^R(C^\dagger) \geq 1$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .

It follows that  $\beta_i^R(D) = \sum_{j=0}^i \beta_j^R(C)\beta_{i-j}^R(C^\dagger) \geq i + 1$ . □

**Strategy.** Identify all  $R$  for which the only two semidualizing modules (up to isomorphism) are  $R$  and  $D$ . These are the only rings where Huneke's question is still open.

**Goal.** Given  $R$ , count the semidualizing  $R$ -modules and describe them explicitly.

# Semidualizing Modules and Bass Numbers, cont.

**Theorem.** (SSW, 2008) *If  $C$  is a semidualizing  $R$ -module such that  $D \not\cong C \not\cong R$ , then the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is unbounded.*

*Proof.* Since  $C \not\cong R$ , we have  $\beta_i^R(C) \geq 1$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .

Since  $C \not\cong D$ , we have  $\beta_i^R(C^\dagger) \geq 1$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .

It follows that  $\beta_i^R(D) = \sum_{j=0}^i \beta_j^R(C)\beta_{i-j}^R(C^\dagger) \geq i + 1$ . □

**Strategy.** Identify all  $R$  for which the only two semidualizing modules (up to isomorphism) are  $R$  and  $D$ . These are the only rings where Huneke's question is still open.

**Goal.** Given  $R$ , count the semidualizing  $R$ -modules and describe them explicitly.

**Focus.** Cohen-Macaulay local rings of codimension 2.

# Notes and Open Questions

**Note.** If  $\text{codim}(R) \leq 1$ , then  $R$  is Gorenstein, so  $R$  has a single semidualizing module.

# Notes and Open Questions

**Note.** If  $\text{codim}(R) \leq 1$ , then  $R$  is Gorenstein, so  $R$  has a single semidualizing module.

**Note.** Ghione and Gulliksen show that the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is unbounded when  $R$  is not Gorenstein and  $\text{codim}(R) = 2$ . See also Christensen, Striuli, and Veliche.

# Notes and Open Questions

**Note.** If  $\text{codim}(R) \leq 1$ , then  $R$  is Gorenstein, so  $R$  has a single semidualizing module.

**Note.** Ghione and Gulliksen show that the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is unbounded when  $R$  is not Gorenstein and  $\text{codim}(R) = 2$ . See also Christensen, Striuli, and Veliche.

**Questions.** Let  $C$  be a semidualizing  $R$ -module.

# Notes and Open Questions

**Note.** If  $\text{codim}(R) \leq 1$ , then  $R$  is Gorenstein, so  $R$  has a single semidualizing module.

**Note.** Ghione and Gulliksen show that the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is unbounded when  $R$  is not Gorenstein and  $\text{codim}(R) = 2$ . See also Christensen, Striuli, and Veliche.

**Questions.** Let  $C$  be a semidualizing  $R$ -module.

1. Are there only finitely many isomorphism classes of semidualizing  $R$ -modules?



# Notes and Open Questions

**Note.** If  $\text{codim}(R) \leq 1$ , then  $R$  is Gorenstein, so  $R$  has a single semidualizing module.

**Note.** Ghione and Gulliksen show that the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is unbounded when  $R$  is not Gorenstein and  $\text{codim}(R) = 2$ . See also Christensen, Striuli, and Veliche.

**Questions.** Let  $C$  be a semidualizing  $R$ -module.

1. Are there only finitely many isomorphism classes of semidualizing  $R$ -modules?
2. Is the number of isomorphism classes of semidualizing  $R$ -modules equal to  $2^n$  for some integer  $n$ ?

**Note.** If  $\text{codim}(R) \leq 1$ , then  $R$  is Gorenstein, so  $R$  has a single semidualizing module.

**Note.** Ghione and Gulliksen show that the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is unbounded when  $R$  is not Gorenstein and  $\text{codim}(R) = 2$ . See also Christensen, Striuli, and Veliche.

**Questions.** Let  $C$  be a semidualizing  $R$ -module.

1. Are there only finitely many isomorphism classes of semidualizing  $R$ -modules?
2. Is the number of isomorphism classes of semidualizing  $R$ -modules equal to  $2^n$  for some integer  $n$ ?
3. If  $I$  is an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal, then  $e(I; C) = e(I; R)$ ?

# Notes and Open Questions

**Note.** If  $\text{codim}(R) \leq 1$ , then  $R$  is Gorenstein, so  $R$  has a single semidualizing module.

**Note.** Ghione and Gulliksen show that the sequence  $\{\beta_i^R(D)\}_i$  is unbounded when  $R$  is not Gorenstein and  $\text{codim}(R) = 2$ . See also Christensen, Striuli, and Veliche.

**Questions.** Let  $C$  be a semidualizing  $R$ -module.

1. Are there only finitely many isomorphism classes of semidualizing  $R$ -modules?
2. Is the number of isomorphism classes of semidualizing  $R$ -modules equal to  $2^n$  for some integer  $n$ ?
3. If  $I$  is an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal, then  $e(I; C) = e(I; R)$ ?
4. If  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$ , then  $\text{length}_{R_P}(C_P) = \text{length}_{R_P}(R_P)$ ?

# A Criterion for Rings of Codimension 2

**Lemma.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of codimension 2. Let  $C$  be a semidualizing  $R$ -module such that  $\beta_0^R(C) \leq \beta_1^R(C)$ . Then  $C$  is dualizing for  $R$ .*

# A Criterion for Rings of Codimension 2

**Lemma.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of codimension 2. Let  $C$  be a semidualizing  $R$ -module such that  $\beta_0^R(C) \leq \beta_1^R(C)$ . Then  $C$  is dualizing for  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Jorgensen and Leuschke show that  $\beta_1^R(D) = \beta_0^R(D) + 1$ .

# A Criterion for Rings of Codimension 2

**Lemma.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of codimension 2. Let  $C$  be a semidualizing  $R$ -module such that  $\beta_0^R(C) \leq \beta_1^R(C)$ . Then  $C$  is dualizing for  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Jorgensen and Leuschke show that  $\beta_1^R(D) = \beta_0^R(D) + 1$ .  
Suppose that  $C$  is not dualizing.

# A Criterion for Rings of Codimension 2

**Lemma.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of codimension 2. Let  $C$  be a semidualizing  $R$ -module such that  $\beta_0^R(C) \leq \beta_1^R(C)$ . Then  $C$  is dualizing for  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Jorgensen and Leuschke show that  $\beta_1^R(D) = \beta_0^R(D) + 1$ .

Suppose that  $C$  is not dualizing.

The assumption  $\beta_0^R(C) \leq \beta_1^R(C)$  implies that  $C \not\cong R$ .

# A Criterion for Rings of Codimension 2

**Lemma.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of codimension 2. Let  $C$  be a semidualizing  $R$ -module such that  $\beta_0^R(C) \leq \beta_1^R(C)$ . Then  $C$  is dualizing for  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Jorgensen and Leuschke show that  $\beta_1^R(D) = \beta_0^R(D) + 1$ .

Suppose that  $C$  is not dualizing.

The assumption  $\beta_0^R(C) \leq \beta_1^R(C)$  implies that  $C \not\cong R$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_0^R(D) + 1 &= \beta_1^R(D) \\ &= \beta_1^R(C)\beta_0^R(C^\dagger) + \beta_0^R(C)\beta_1^R(C^\dagger) \\ &\geq \beta_0^R(C)\beta_0^R(C^\dagger) + (2)(1) \\ &\geq \beta_0^R(D) + 2.\end{aligned}$$



# A Criterion for Rings of Codimension 2

**Lemma.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of codimension 2. Let  $C$  be a semidualizing  $R$ -module such that  $\beta_0^R(C) \leq \beta_1^R(C)$ . Then  $C$  is dualizing for  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Jorgensen and Leuschke show that  $\beta_1^R(D) = \beta_0^R(D) + 1$ .

Suppose that  $C$  is not dualizing.

The assumption  $\beta_0^R(C) \leq \beta_1^R(C)$  implies that  $C \not\cong R$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_0^R(D) + 1 &= \beta_1^R(D) \\ &= \beta_1^R(C)\beta_0^R(C^\dagger) + \beta_0^R(C)\beta_1^R(C^\dagger) \\ &\geq \beta_0^R(C)\beta_0^R(C^\dagger) + (2)(1) \\ &\geq \beta_0^R(D) + 2.\end{aligned}$$

This is an egregious contradiction. □

# Length and Betti Numbers

**Lemma.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Let  $C$  be an  $R$ -module with  $\text{pd}_R(C) = \infty$ . Assume that for every  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$  one has  $\text{length}_{R_P}(C_P) = \text{length}_{R_P}(R_P)$ . Then  $\beta_0^R(C) \leq \beta_1^R(C)$ .*

# Length and Betti Numbers

**Lemma.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Let  $C$  be an  $R$ -module with  $\text{pd}_R(C) = \infty$ . Assume that for every  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$  one has  $\text{length}_{R_P}(C_P) = \text{length}_{R_P}(R_P)$ . Then  $\beta_0^R(C) \leq \beta_1^R(C)$ .*

*Proof.* Count lengths in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow R^{\beta_1^R(C)} \rightarrow R^{\beta_0^R(C)} \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

to conclude that  $\beta_0^R(C) - 1 \leq \beta_1^R(C)$ .

# Length and Betti Numbers

**Lemma.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Let  $C$  be an  $R$ -module with  $\text{pd}_R(C) = \infty$ . Assume that for every  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$  one has  $\text{length}_{R_P}(C_P) = \text{length}_{R_P}(R_P)$ . Then  $\beta_0^R(C) \leq \beta_1^R(C)$ .*

*Proof.* Count lengths in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow R^{\beta_1^R(C)} \rightarrow R^{\beta_0^R(C)} \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

to conclude that  $\beta_0^R(C) - 1 \leq \beta_1^R(C)$ .

Suppose that  $\beta_0^R(C) > \beta_1^R(C)$ ; then  $\beta_0^R(C) - 1 = \beta_1^R(C)$ .

# Length and Betti Numbers

**Lemma.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Let  $C$  be an  $R$ -module with  $\text{pd}_R(C) = \infty$ . Assume that for every  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$  one has  $\text{length}_{R_P}(C_P) = \text{length}_{R_P}(R_P)$ . Then  $\beta_0^R(C) \leq \beta_1^R(C)$ .*

*Proof.* Count lengths in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow R^{\beta_1^R(C)} \rightarrow R^{\beta_0^R(C)} \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

to conclude that  $\beta_0^R(C) - 1 \leq \beta_1^R(C)$ .

Suppose that  $\beta_0^R(C) > \beta_1^R(C)$ ; then  $\beta_0^R(C) - 1 = \beta_1^R(C)$ .

Consider a second exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow R^{\beta_0^R(C)} \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ .

Another length-count shows that  $\beta_0^R(K) = \text{rank}_R(K)$ .

# Length and Betti Numbers

**Lemma.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Let  $C$  be an  $R$ -module with  $\text{pd}_R(C) = \infty$ . Assume that for every  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$  one has  $\text{length}_{R_P}(C_P) = \text{length}_{R_P}(R_P)$ . Then  $\beta_0^R(C) \leq \beta_1^R(C)$ .*

*Proof.* Count lengths in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow R^{\beta_1^R(C)} \rightarrow R^{\beta_0^R(C)} \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

to conclude that  $\beta_0^R(C) - 1 \leq \beta_1^R(C)$ .

Suppose that  $\beta_0^R(C) > \beta_1^R(C)$ ; then  $\beta_0^R(C) - 1 = \beta_1^R(C)$ .

Consider a second exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow R^{\beta_0^R(C)} \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ .

Another length-count shows that  $\beta_0^R(K) = \text{rank}_R(K)$ .

Thus,  $K$  is free, contradicting the assumption  $\text{pd}_R(C) = \infty$ .  $\square$

# Length and Semidualizing Modules

**Proposition.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of codimension 2 where  $\text{length}_{R_P}(C_P) = \text{length}_{R_P}(R_P)$  for each  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$  and each semidualizing  $R$ -module  $C$ . The only two semidualizing  $R$ -modules (up to isomorphism) are  $R$  and  $D$ .*

*Proof.* Combine the previous two lemmas. □

# Length and Semidualizing Modules

**Proposition.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of codimension 2 where  $\text{length}_{R_P}(C_P) = \text{length}_{R_P}(R_P)$  for each  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$  and each semidualizing  $R$ -module  $C$ . The only two semidualizing  $R$ -modules (up to isomorphism) are  $R$  and  $D$ .*

*Proof.* Combine the previous two lemmas. □

**Question.** If  $R$  is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of codimension 2, are the only two semidualizing modules (up to isomorphism)  $R$  and  $D$ ?



# Special Cases

**Theorem.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of codimension 2. Assume that one of the following holds:*

**Theorem.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of codimension 2. Assume that one of the following holds:*

- (1)  *$R$  is generically Gorenstein, e.g.,  $R$  is reduced;*

**Theorem.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of codimension 2. Assume that one of the following holds:*

- (1)  *$R$  is generically Gorenstein, e.g.,  $R$  is reduced;*
- (2)  *$P^2R_P = 0$  for each  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$ ;*

**Theorem.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of codimension 2. Assume that one of the following holds:*

- (1)  *$R$  is generically Gorenstein, e.g.,  $R$  is reduced;*
- (2)  *$P^2 R_P = 0$  for each  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$ ;*
- (3)  *$\widehat{R} \cong S/I$  where  $S = k[[X_0, X_1, X_2]]$  and  $I \subset k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  is the ideal of vanishing for a fat point scheme in  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$ ; or*

**Theorem.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of codimension 2. Assume that one of the following holds:*

- (1)  *$R$  is generically Gorenstein, e.g.,  $R$  is reduced;*
- (2)  *$P^2 R_P = 0$  for each  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$ ;*
- (3)  *$\widehat{R} \cong S/IS$  where  $S = k[[X_0, X_1, X_2]]$  and  $I \subset k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  is the ideal of vanishing for a fat point scheme in  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$ ; or*
- (4)  *$\widehat{R} \cong k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/I$  where  $I$  is a monomial ideal.*

**Theorem.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of codimension 2. Assume that one of the following holds:*

- (1)  *$R$  is generically Gorenstein, e.g.,  $R$  is reduced;*
- (2)  *$P^2 R_P = 0$  for each  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$ ;*
- (3)  *$\widehat{R} \cong S/IS$  where  $S = k[[X_0, X_1, X_2]]$  and  $I \subset k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  is the ideal of vanishing for a fat point scheme in  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$ ; or*
- (4)  *$\widehat{R} \cong k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/I$  where  $I$  is a monomial ideal.*

*The only two semidualizing  $R$ -modules (up to isomorphism) are  $R$  and  $D$ .*

**Theorem.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of codimension 2. Assume that one of the following holds:*

- (1)  *$R$  is generically Gorenstein, e.g.,  $R$  is reduced;*
- (2)  *$P^2 R_P = 0$  for each  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$ ;*
- (3)  *$\widehat{R} \cong S/IS$  where  $S = k[[X_0, X_1, X_2]]$  and  $I \subset k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  is the ideal of vanishing for a fat point scheme in  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$ ; or*
- (4)  *$\widehat{R} \cong k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/I$  where  $I$  is a monomial ideal.*

*The only two semidualizing  $R$ -modules (up to isomorphism) are  $R$  and  $D$ .*

*Proof.* In each case, prove that  $\text{length}_{R_P}(C_P) = \text{length}_{R_P}(R_P)$  for every  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$  and every semidualizing  $R$ -module  $C$ .

**Theorem.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of codimension 2. Assume that one of the following holds:*

- (1)  *$R$  is generically Gorenstein, e.g.,  $R$  is reduced;*
- (2)  *$P^2 R_P = 0$  for each  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$ ;*
- (3)  *$\widehat{R} \cong S/I$  where  $S = k[[X_0, X_1, X_2]]$  and  $I \subset k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  is the ideal of vanishing for a fat point scheme in  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$ ; or*
- (4)  *$\widehat{R} \cong k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/I$  where  $I$  is a monomial ideal.*

*The only two semidualizing  $R$ -modules (up to isomorphism) are  $R$  and  $D$ .*

*Proof.* In each case, prove that  $\text{length}_{R_P}(C_P) = \text{length}_{R_P}(R_P)$  for every  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$  and every semidualizing  $R$ -module  $C$ .

This is straightforward in cases (1) and (2).



**Theorem.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of codimension 2. Assume that one of the following holds:*

- (1)  *$R$  is generically Gorenstein, e.g.,  $R$  is reduced;*
- (2)  *$P^2 R_P = 0$  for each  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$ ;*
- (3)  *$\widehat{R} \cong S/I$  where  $S = k[[X_0, X_1, X_2]]$  and  $I \subset k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  is the ideal of vanishing for a fat point scheme in  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$ ; or*
- (4)  *$\widehat{R} \cong k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/I$  where  $I$  is a monomial ideal.*

*The only two semidualizing  $R$ -modules (up to isomorphism) are  $R$  and  $D$ .*

*Proof.* In each case, prove that  $\text{length}_{R_P}(C_P) = \text{length}_{R_P}(R_P)$  for every  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$  and every semidualizing  $R$ -module  $C$ .

This is straightforward in cases (1) and (2).

It requires more work in cases (3) and (4).



**Theorem.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Assume that one of the following holds:*

**Theorem.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Assume that one of the following holds:*

- (1)  *$R$  is generically Gorenstein, e.g.,  $R$  is reduced;*

**Theorem.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Assume that one of the following holds:*

- (1)  *$R$  is generically Gorenstein, e.g.,  $R$  is reduced;*
- (2)  *$P^2R_P = 0$  for each  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$ ;*

**Theorem.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Assume that one of the following holds:*

- (1)  *$R$  is generically Gorenstein, e.g.,  $R$  is reduced;*
- (2)  *$P^2R_P = 0$  for each  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$ ;*
- (3)  *$\widehat{R} \cong S/I$  where  $S = k[[X_0, \dots, X_n]]$  and  $I \subset k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$  is the ideal of vanishing for a fat point scheme in  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ ; or*

**Theorem.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Assume that one of the following holds:*

- (1)  *$R$  is generically Gorenstein, e.g.,  $R$  is reduced;*
- (2)  *$P^2R_P = 0$  for each  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$ ;*
- (3)  *$\widehat{R} \cong S/I$  where  $S = k[[X_0, \dots, X_n]]$  and  $I \subset k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$  is the ideal of vanishing for a fat point scheme in  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ ; or*
- (4)  *$\widehat{R} \cong k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/I$  where  $I$  is a monomial ideal.*

**Theorem.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Assume that one of the following holds:*

- (1)  *$R$  is generically Gorenstein, e.g.,  $R$  is reduced;*
- (2)  *$P^2R_P = 0$  for each  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$ ;*
- (3)  *$\widehat{R} \cong S/I$  where  $S = k[[X_0, \dots, X_n]]$  and  $I \subset k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$  is the ideal of vanishing for a fat point scheme in  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ ; or*
- (4)  *$\widehat{R} \cong k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/I$  where  $I$  is a monomial ideal.*

*Then  $e(I; C) = e(I; R)$  for each  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $I$ .*

**Theorem.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Assume that one of the following holds:*

- (1)  *$R$  is generically Gorenstein, e.g.,  $R$  is reduced;*
- (2)  *$P^2R_P = 0$  for each  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$ ;*
- (3)  *$\widehat{R} \cong S/I$  where  $S = k[[X_0, \dots, X_n]]$  and  $I \subset k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$  is the ideal of vanishing for a fat point scheme in  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ ; or*
- (4)  *$\widehat{R} \cong k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/I$  where  $I$  is a monomial ideal.*

*Then  $e(I; C) = e(I; R)$  for each  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $I$ .*

*Proof.* In cases (1)–(3), show that  $\text{length}_{R_P}(C_P) = \text{length}_{R_P}(R_P)$  for every  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$  and every semidualizing  $R$ -module  $C$ .



**Theorem.** (SMC-SSW, 2009) *Let  $R$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Assume that one of the following holds:*

- (1)  $R$  is generically Gorenstein, e.g.,  $R$  is reduced;
- (2)  $P^2 R_P = 0$  for each  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$ ;
- (3)  $\widehat{R} \cong S/I$  where  $S = k[[X_0, \dots, X_n]]$  and  $I \subset k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$  is the ideal of vanishing for a fat point scheme in  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ ; or
- (4)  $\widehat{R} \cong k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/I$  where  $I$  is a monomial ideal.

*Then  $e(I; C) = e(I; R)$  for each  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $I$ .*

*Proof.* In cases (1)–(3), show that  $\text{length}_{R_P}(C_P) = \text{length}_{R_P}(R_P)$  for every  $P \in \text{Ass}(R)$  and every semidualizing  $R$ -module  $C$ .

In case (4), use polarization to deform to the reduced case.  $\square$