

Descent of semidualizing complexes

Sean Sather-Wagstaff

18 May 2006

Joint with Lars W. Christensen

Assumption. $f: R \rightarrow S$ is a local ring homomorphism of finite flat dimension.

Example. a. The completion map $f_R: R \rightarrow \widehat{R}$.

b. The surjection $\pi_{\mathbf{x}}: R \rightarrow R/(\mathbf{x})$ where $\mathbf{x} \in R$ is an R -sequence.

Definition. A *semidualizing R -complex* is a homologically finite complex of finitely generated free R -modules

$$P = \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_P^{i+2}} P_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_P^{i+1}} P_i \rightarrow 0$$

such that the homothety morphism is a quasiisomorphism.

$$R \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_R(P, P)$$

Notation. $\mathfrak{S}(R)$ is the set of shift-quasiisomorphism classes of semidualizing R -complexes.

Application. (Avramov-Foxby '97) There is a semidualizing \widehat{S} -complex $D^{f_S f}$ such that $I_S^S(t) = I_R^R(t) P_{D^{f_S f}}^S(t)$.

Example. a. R is R -semidualizing.

b. (Hartshorne '66) P is R -dualizing if and only if it is R -semidualizing and $\text{id}_R(P) < \infty$.

c. Let P be a projective resolution of a finite R -module C . Then P is a semidualizing R -complex if and only if C is a semidualizing R -module, i.e., $R \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^{>0}(C, C) = 0$.

Fact. a. (LWC '01) If R is CM and P is R -semidualizing, then P is a shift of a projective resolution of a semidualizing R -module.

b. (SSW '04) If R is a CM normal domain, then $\mathfrak{S}(R) \subseteq \text{Cl}(R)$.

c. (LWC '01, Frankild-SSW '04) The assignment $[P] \mapsto [P \otimes_R S]$ describes an injective map $\mathfrak{S}(f): \mathfrak{S}(R) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(S)$.

d. (Frankild-SSW '04) If P is a homologically finite complex of free R -modules with $P_i = 0$ for $i \ll 0$ and $P \otimes_R S$ is S -semidualizing, then P is R -semidualizing.

Question. When is $\mathfrak{S}(f)$ surjective?

Assumption. S admits a dualizing complex D^S .

Fact. a. (Frankild-SSW '04) If R is complete, then $\mathfrak{S}(\pi_{\mathbf{x}})$ is surjective.

b. (Avramov-Foxby '94) $[D^S]$ is in the image of $\mathfrak{S}(f)$ if and only if R admits a dualizing complex D^R and $D^S \sim D^R \otimes_R S$.

c. Even if R admits a dualizing complex the map $\mathfrak{S}(f)$ will not usually be surjective.

Example. If R is a field and S a non-Gorenstein local R -algebra, then the structure map $f: R \rightarrow S$ is flat and R is R -dualizing, but $D^R \otimes_R S \cong R \otimes_R S \cong S \neq D^S$.

Question. When is $\mathfrak{S}(f_R)$ surjective?

Fact. (Ogoma '82) R may not admit a dualizing complex.

Question. How badly can surjectivity of $\mathfrak{S}(f_R)$ fail?

Example. Fix an integer $n \geq 1$. There exists a complete CM normal domain S containing \mathbb{Q} such that $\dim(S) \geq 2$ and

$$\text{card}(\mathfrak{S}(S)) = 2^n.$$

There exists a local UFD R such that $\widehat{R} \cong S$. In particular,

$$\mathfrak{S}(R) \subseteq \text{Cl}(R) = \{[R]\} \implies \text{card}(\mathfrak{S}(R)) = 1$$

so the image of $\mathfrak{S}(f_R)$ is trivial.

Fact. (Khinich '93, Rotthaus '96) If R has the approximation property, then R admits a dualizing complex.

Theorem. (LWC-SSW '06) *If R has the approximation property, then $\mathfrak{S}(f_R)$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let P be a semidualizing \widehat{R} -complex.

Case 1. R is CM of dimension d . We will exhibit a semidualizing R -complex \widetilde{F} such that $\widetilde{F} \otimes_R \widehat{R} \simeq P$.

Let \mathbf{x} be a system of parameters for R . It suffices to construct a homologically finite complex of free R -modules \widetilde{F} such that $\widetilde{F}_i = 0$ for $i \ll 0$ and there exists a $\widehat{R}/(\mathbf{x})$ -quasiisomorphism $\widetilde{F} \otimes_R \widehat{R}/(\mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{\cong} P \otimes_{\widehat{R}} \widehat{R}/(\mathbf{x})$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \widetilde{F} & R \xrightarrow{f_R} \widehat{R} & \widetilde{F} \otimes_R \widehat{R} \quad P \\
 & \downarrow \pi_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \downarrow \widehat{\pi}_{\mathbf{x}} & \\
 & R/(\mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{R}/(\mathbf{x}) & \widetilde{F} \otimes_R \widehat{R}/(\mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{\cong} P \otimes_{\widehat{R}} \widehat{R}/(\mathbf{x})
 \end{array}$$

For $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ consider the hard truncation $P_{\leq c}$. The approximation property guarantees the existence of a bounded complex of free R -modules F and an isomorphism $F \otimes_R \widehat{R}/(\mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{\cong} P_{\leq c} \otimes_{\widehat{R}} \widehat{R}/(\mathbf{x})$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F & R \xrightarrow{f_R} \widehat{R} & F \otimes_R \widehat{R} \quad P_{\leq c} \\
 & \downarrow \pi_{\mathbf{x}} \quad \downarrow \widehat{\pi}_{\mathbf{x}} & \\
 & R/(\mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{R}/(\mathbf{x}) & F \otimes_R \widehat{R}/(\mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{\cong} P_{\leq c} \otimes_{\widehat{R}} \widehat{R}/(\mathbf{x})
 \end{array}$$

Let \widetilde{F} be the complex of free R -modules obtained by splicing F with a R -free resolution of $\text{Ker}(\partial_c^F)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & \longrightarrow & F_c & \xrightarrow{\partial_c^F} & F_{c-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{c-1}^F} & \cdots \\
 & & & & \nearrow & \uparrow & & & & \\
 \cdots & \xrightarrow{\partial_{c+3}^{\widetilde{F}}} & \widetilde{F}_{c+2} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{c+2}^{\widetilde{F}}} & \widetilde{F}_{c+1} & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(\partial_c^F) & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Choosing $c \gg 0$ we have

$$F \otimes_R \widehat{R} \rightarrow \widetilde{F} \otimes_R \widehat{R}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F \rightarrow \widetilde{F} & & P_{\leq c} \rightarrow P \\
 & \begin{array}{ccc}
 R & \xrightarrow{f_R} & \widehat{R} \\
 \downarrow \pi_{\mathbf{x}} & & \downarrow \widehat{\pi}_{\mathbf{x}} \\
 R/(\mathbf{x}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \widehat{R}/(\mathbf{x})
 \end{array} & &
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F \otimes_R \widehat{R}/(\mathbf{x}) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{F} \otimes_R \widehat{R}/(\mathbf{x}) \\
 \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
 P_{\leq c} \otimes_{\widehat{R}} \widehat{R}/(\mathbf{x}) & \longrightarrow & P \otimes_{\widehat{R}} \widehat{R}/(\mathbf{x})
 \end{array}$$

Case 2. General case. In place of $\widehat{R}/(\mathbf{x})$, use a Koszul complex with the DGA structure given by the wedge product.

Let \mathbf{x} be a generating sequence for \mathfrak{m}_R . It suffices to construct a homologically finite complex of free R -modules \widetilde{F} such that $\widetilde{F}_i = 0$ for $i \ll 0$ and there exists a $K^{\widehat{R}}(\mathbf{x})$ -quasiisomorphism $\widetilde{F} \otimes_R K^{\widehat{R}}(\mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{\cong} P \otimes_{\widehat{R}} K^{\widehat{R}}(\mathbf{x})$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \widetilde{F} & R \xrightarrow{f_R} & \widehat{R} \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 & K^R(\mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{\cong} & K^{\widehat{R}}(\mathbf{x})
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \widetilde{F} \otimes_R \widehat{R} & & P \\
 \widetilde{F} \otimes_R K^{\widehat{R}}(\mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{\cong} & & P \otimes_{\widehat{R}} K^{\widehat{R}}(\mathbf{x})
 \end{array}$$

For $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ the approximation property guarantees the existence of a bounded complex of free R -modules F and a $K^{\widehat{R}}(\mathbf{x})$ -isomorphism $F \otimes_R K^{\widehat{R}}(\mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{\cong} P_{\leq c} \otimes_{\widehat{R}} K^{\widehat{R}}(\mathbf{x})$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F & R \xrightarrow{f_R} & \widehat{R} \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 & K^R(\mathbf{x}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} K^{\widehat{R}}(\mathbf{x})
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 F \otimes_R \widehat{R} & & P_{\leq c} \\
 & & \\
 F \otimes_R K^{\widehat{R}}(\mathbf{x}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & P_{\leq c} \otimes_{\widehat{R}} K^{\widehat{R}}(\mathbf{x})
 \end{array}$$

Let \widetilde{F} be the complex of free R -modules obtained by splicing F with a R -free resolution of $\text{Ker}(\partial_c^F)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & \longrightarrow & F_c & \xrightarrow{\partial_c^F} & F_{c-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{c-1}^F} & \cdots \\
 & & & & & \nearrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 \cdots & \xrightarrow{\partial_{c+3}^{\widetilde{F}}} & \widetilde{F}_{c+2} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{c+2}^{\widetilde{F}}} & \widetilde{F}_{c+1} & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(\partial_c^F) & \longrightarrow & 0 &
 \end{array}$$

Choosing $c \gg 0$ we have

$$F \otimes_R \widehat{R} \rightarrow \widetilde{F} \otimes_R \widehat{R}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F \rightarrow \widetilde{F} & & \\
 & R \xrightarrow{f_R} \widehat{R} & P_{\leq c} \rightarrow P \\
 & \downarrow \quad \downarrow & \\
 & K^R(\mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} K^{\widehat{R}}(\mathbf{x}) &
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F \otimes_R K^{\widehat{R}}(\mathbf{x}) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{F} \otimes_R K^{\widehat{R}}(\mathbf{x}) \\
 \downarrow \simeq & & \simeq \downarrow \\
 P_{\leq c} \otimes_{\widehat{R}} K^{\widehat{R}}(\mathbf{x}) & \longrightarrow & P \otimes_{\widehat{R}} K^{\widehat{R}}(\mathbf{x})
 \end{array}$$

□

Corollary. *Let R have the approximation property.*

- (a) *For an ideal $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_R$ let \widehat{R}^I be the I -adic completion of R with $f_R^I: R \rightarrow \widehat{R}^I$. Then $\mathfrak{S}(f_R^I): \mathfrak{S}(R) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(\widehat{R}^I)$ is surjective.*
- (b) *For a R -sequence $\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{m}_R$ the map $\mathfrak{S}(\pi_{\mathbf{x}}): \mathfrak{S}(R) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(R/(\mathbf{x}))$ is surjective.*

Proof. Diagram chase: each map is injective.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{S}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{S}(\widehat{R}^I) \\
 & \searrow \cong & \downarrow \\
 & & \mathfrak{S}(\widehat{R}) \\
 \mathfrak{S}(R) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathfrak{S}(\widehat{R}) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\
 \mathfrak{S}(R/(\mathbf{x})) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{S}(\widehat{R}/(\mathbf{x}))
 \end{array}$$

□

Corollary. *Let R be an excellent \mathbb{Q} -algebra.*

- (a) *The map $\mathfrak{S}(R^h) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(\widehat{R})$ is surjective.*
- (b) *If $\mathfrak{S}(\widehat{R})$ is finite, then there exists a pointed étale neighborhood $R \rightarrow R'$ such that the map $\mathfrak{S}(R') \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(\widehat{R})$ is surjective.*

Proof. (a) R^h has the approximation property.

(b) If $\mathfrak{S}(\widehat{R})$ is finite, then only finitely many equations need to be solved to descend every element of $\mathfrak{S}(\widehat{R})$ to $\mathfrak{S}(R^h)$. The solutions to these equations live in a pointed étale neighborhood R' of R , so each element of $\mathfrak{S}(\widehat{R})$ descends to $\mathfrak{S}(R')$. □