

Some Questions About Ext

Sean Sather-Wagstaff¹ Richard Wicklein²

¹North Dakota State University

²MacMurray College

20 September 2014
Commutative Ring Theory
AMS Central Section Meeting
University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire

Large and Small Support

Assumption

R is a commutative noetherian ring, and M, N are R -modules.

Definition

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ and set $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) = R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

- (a) $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R(M)$ if $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$, i.e., $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R M \neq 0$, i.e.,
 $\text{Tor}^R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M) \neq 0$
- (b) (Foxby '79) $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{supp}_R(M)$ if $\text{Tor}^R(\kappa(\mathfrak{p}), M) \neq 0$

Fact

- $\text{supp}_R(M) \subseteq \text{Supp}_R(M)$
- $M \neq 0$ iff $\text{supp}_R(M) \neq \emptyset$ iff $\text{Supp}_R(M) \neq \emptyset$
- $\text{Tor}^R(M, N) \neq 0$ if and only if $\text{supp}_R(M) \cap \text{supp}_R(N) \neq \emptyset$
- If M is finitely generated, then $\text{supp}_R(M) = \text{Supp}_R(M)$.

Large and Small Co-Support

Definition

- (a) $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Co-supp}_R(M)$ if $\text{Ext}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M) \neq 0$
- (b) (Benson-Iyengar-Krause '12) $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{co-supp}_R(M)$ if $\text{Ext}_R(\kappa(\mathfrak{p}), M) \neq 0$

Fact

- $\text{co-supp}_R(M) \subseteq \text{Co-supp}_R(M)$
- $M \neq 0$ iff $\text{co-supp}_R(M) \neq \emptyset$ iff $\text{Co-supp}_R(M) \neq \emptyset$
- $\text{Ext}_R(M, N) \neq 0$ if and only if $\text{supp}_R(M) \cap \text{co-supp}_R(N) \neq \emptyset$
- If M is finitely generated, then $\text{co-supp}_R(M) \subseteq \text{supp}_R(M)$.

Example

Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is local and not artinian. For $E = E_R(k)$:
 $\text{co-supp}_R(E) = \text{Spec}(R) \not\subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\} = \text{supp}_R(E)$.

The First Question

Question

- If M is finitely generated, what is $\text{co-supp}_R(M)$?
- What is $\text{co-supp}_R(R)$?

Fact (BIK '12)

Assume that M is finitely generated. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset R$ be an ideal.

- $\text{co-supp}_R(M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ if and only if M is \mathfrak{a} -adically complete.
- If (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local, then $\text{co-supp}_R(R) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ if and only if R is complete.
- If R is a 1-dimensional local domain, then

$$\text{co-supp}_R(R) = \begin{cases} \{\mathfrak{m}\} & \text{if } R \text{ is complete} \\ \text{Spec}(R) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- $\text{co-supp}_R(M)$ and $\text{supp}_R(M)$ have the same maximal elements with respect to containment.

Results

Theorem (SW-Wicklein '14)

If R is a 1-dimensional domain with a dualizing complex, then

$$\text{co-supp}_R(R) = \begin{cases} \text{m-Spec}(R) & \text{if } R \text{ is local and complete} \\ \text{Spec}(R) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof.

If R is local, we are done.

Assume that R is not local, and let D be dualizing.

We know that $\text{m-Spec}(R) \subseteq \text{supp}_R(R)$.

Maximum condition implies that $\text{m-Spec}(R) \subseteq \text{co-supp}_R(R)$.

Need to show that $0 \in \text{co-supp}_R(R)$.

$$D : 0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m}} E(R/\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{Hom}_R(Q, D) : 0 \rightarrow \underbrace{\text{Hom}_R(Q, Q)}_{\cong Q} \rightarrow \underbrace{\text{Hom}_R(Q, \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m}} E(R/\mathfrak{m}))}_{\text{rank}_Q \geq 2} \rightarrow 0$$

So, $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, D) = 0$ and $0 \in \text{co-supp}_R(D) = \text{co-supp}_R(R)$. \square

Results, cont.

Theorem (SW-Wicklein '14)

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ such that $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) = 1$. If R has a dualizing complex, then $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{co-supp}_R(R)$ if and only if R/\mathfrak{p} is not local or not complete.

Example

Let k be a field and let R be a localization of the polynomial $k[X_1, \dots, X_d]$. Given $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ such that $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) = 1$, we have $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{co-supp}_R(R)$ if and only if R/\mathfrak{p} is not local.

Question

Let k be a field and set $R = k[X, Y]$ or $R = k[X, Y]_{(X, Y)}$. Do we have $0 \in \text{co-supp}_R(R)$? i.e., do we have $\text{Ext}_R(Q(R), R) \neq 0$?

The Second Question

Assumption

(R, \mathfrak{m}) is local.

Fact (Frankild, SW, R. Wiegand; '08)

TFAE:

- (i) R is complete.
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(\widehat{R}, R) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.
- (iii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(\widehat{R}, R)$ is f.g. over \widehat{R} for $i = 1, \dots, \dim_R(R)$.

Note

If R is a DVR, Anderson-SW explicitly describe $\text{Ext}_R^1(\widehat{R}, R)$.

Question

For which i do we have $\text{Ext}_R^i(\widehat{R}, R) \neq 0$?

A Result

Fact (Frankild, SW, R. Wiegand '08)

$\text{Hom}_R(\widehat{R}, R) \neq 0$ if and only if R has a non-zero complete ideal.

Theorem (SW)

Assume that R is an incomplete domain and that $f, g \in \mathfrak{m}$.

- (a) If R/fR is complete, then $\text{Ext}_R^1(\widehat{R}, R) \neq 0$.
- (b) If R/gR has no non-zero complete ideals (e.g., if R/gR is an incomplete domain), then $\text{Ext}_R^1(\widehat{R}, R)$ has no g -torsion.

Example

$R = k[X]_{(X)}[[Y]]$ or $k[[Y]][X]_{(X, Y)}$ with $f = X$ and $g = g(Y)$.

Question

Let $R = k[X, Y]_{(X, Y)}$, so $\widehat{R} = k[[X, Y]]$.

For which $i = 1, 2$ do we have $\text{Ext}_R^i(\widehat{R}, R) \neq 0$?

Conclusion

Question

- (a) For which \mathfrak{p} do we have $\text{Ext}_R(\kappa(\mathfrak{p}), R) \neq 0$?
- (b) For which i do we have $\text{Ext}_R^i(\widehat{R}, R) \neq 0$?

Intuition

Each question has to do with how far R is from being complete:

- (a) the smallest $V(\mathfrak{a})$ such that R is \mathfrak{a} -adically complete,
- (b) the lengths of sequences $\underline{f} = f_1, \dots, f_n$ such that $R/(\underline{f})$ is complete.

Question

Let k be a field and set $R = k[X, Y]_{(X, Y)}$.

- (a) Do we have $\text{Ext}_R(Q(R), R) \neq 0$?
- (b) For which i do we have $\text{Ext}_R^i(\widehat{R}, R) \neq 0$?