

Detecting completeness from Ext-vanishing

Sean Sather-Wagstaff

07 October 2006

Joint with Anders J. Frankild

arXiv: [math.AC/0606736](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0606736)

Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring.

Theorem. (Jensen '70) *If B is a flat R -module, then $\text{pd}_R(B) \leq \dim(R)$.*

Corollary. *If B is a flat R -module, then $\text{Ext}_R^{>\dim(R)}(B, M) = 0$ for all R -modules M .*

Theorem. (Jensen '70) *The following are equivalent.*

- (i) $R \cong \prod_{i=1}^n R_i$ with each R_i local and complete.
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(B, M) = 0$ for all R -modules B, M with B flat and M finitely generated.
- (iii) $\text{Ext}_R^1(B, R) = 0$ for all flat R -modules B .

Theorem. (Buchweitz-Flenner '06) *Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ be a maximal ideal and let B, M be R -modules. If M is \mathfrak{m} -adically complete, then $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(B, M) = 0$.*

Example. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local domain with $\dim(R) > 0$ and field of fractions $M = E_R(R)$. Since M is divisible, $\mathfrak{m}^n M = M$ for each $n \geq 1$. Hence, $\widehat{M} = 0$ and M is not \mathfrak{m} -adically complete. However, M is injective, so $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(B, M) = 0$.

Theorem A. (AJF-SSW '06) *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \text{Jac}(R)$. If M is a finitely generated R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, M) = 0$, then M is \mathfrak{a} -adically complete.*

Corollary B. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be local and M finitely generated. TFAE.*

- (i) *M is \mathfrak{m} -adically complete.*
- (ii) *$\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(B, M) = 0$ for all flat R -modules B .*
- (iii) *$\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(\widehat{R}, M) = 0$.*

Sketch of proof of Theorem A.

Assume that M is finitely generated and $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, M) = 0$.

Want to show that M is \mathfrak{a} -adically complete.

Assume that M is indecomposable.

$\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, M) = 0$ implies $\text{Hom}_R(\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, M) \neq 0$.

Hence, M contains a nonzero \mathfrak{a} -adically complete submodule.

M has a unique maximal \mathfrak{a} -adically complete submodule $C_M^{\mathfrak{a}} \subseteq M$.

Need to show $C_M^{\mathfrak{a}} = M$, i.e., the inclusion $C_M^{\mathfrak{a}} \xrightarrow{h_M} M$ is surjective.

The map h_M decomposes as the following composition

$$C_M^{\mathfrak{a}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_R(\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, C_M^{\mathfrak{a}}) \xrightarrow[\text{Hom}_R(\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, h_M)]{\cong} \text{Hom}_R(\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, M) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_M} M$$

$$c \longmapsto (r \mapsto rc) \qquad \phi \longmapsto \phi(1)$$

It remains to show that ϵ_M is surjective.

It suffices to show that $\widehat{\epsilon}_M^{\mathfrak{a}} : \text{Hom}_R(\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, M)^{\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}} \rightarrow \widehat{M}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ is surjective.

Local homology. To see where the Ext-vanishing is used.

Let $\mathfrak{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_m)R$ and consider the Čech complex

$$C(\mathfrak{a}) = 0 \rightarrow \underbrace{R}_{\text{deg } 0} \rightarrow \underbrace{\bigoplus_i R_{a_i}}_{\text{deg } -1} \rightarrow \underbrace{\bigoplus_{i,j} R_{a_i a_j}}_{\text{deg } -2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \underbrace{R_{a_1 \cdots a_m}}_{\text{deg } -m} \rightarrow 0$$

To see what the maps are, realize $C(\mathfrak{a})$ as a tensor product of short Čech complexes

$$C(a_i) = 0 \rightarrow \underbrace{R}_{\text{deg } 0} \rightarrow \underbrace{R_{a_i}}_{\text{deg } -1} \rightarrow 0 \quad C(\mathfrak{a}) = C(a_1) \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R C(a_m).$$

Local cohomology modules are computed via tensor product

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N) = H_{-i}(C(\mathfrak{a}) \otimes_R N)$$

so it makes sense that the modules in the Čech complex are flat.

For local *homology*, we use $\text{Hom}_R(-, N)$ instead of $- \otimes_R N$, so we need a *projective* resolution of $C(\mathfrak{a})$.

Start with a projective resolution of the short Cech complex $C(a_i)$:

$$R_{a_i} \cong R[X]/(a_i X - 1)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow R[X] \xrightarrow{a_i X - 1} R[X] \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} R_{a_i} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$X \longmapsto 1/a_i$$

$$L(a_i) = 0 \longrightarrow R[X] \oplus R \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a_i X - 1 & i \end{pmatrix}} R[X] \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C(a_i) = & 0 \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & R_{a_i} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \downarrow & \\ & (0 \ 1) & \\ & \downarrow & \\ & & \alpha_i \end{array}$$

$$L(\mathfrak{a}) = L(a_1) \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R L(a_m).$$

The i th local homology module of N at \mathfrak{a} is

$$H_i^{\mathfrak{a}}(N) = H_i(\mathrm{Hom}_R(L(\mathfrak{a}), N)).$$

Fact 1. $H_i^{\mathfrak{a}}(-)$ is a well-defined additive covariant functor.

Fact 2. If $X \rightarrow Y$ is a quasiisomorphism, then so is the induced morphism $\mathrm{Hom}_R(L(\mathfrak{a}), X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(L(\mathfrak{a}), Y)$.

Fact 3. The morphism $L(\mathfrak{a}) \cong L(\mathfrak{a}) \otimes_R R \rightarrow L(\mathfrak{a}) \otimes_R \widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ is a quasiisomorphism.

Fact 4. If N is finitely-generated, then $H_i^{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \cong \begin{cases} \widehat{N}^{\mathfrak{a}} & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq 0. \end{cases}$

The proof of Theorem A will be complete once we prove:

Lemma C. (AJF-SSW '06) *If M is finitely generated and $\mathrm{Ext}_{\widehat{R}}^{\geq 1}(\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, M) = 0$, then $\widehat{\epsilon}_M^{\mathfrak{a}}: \mathrm{Hom}_R(\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, M)^{\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}} \rightarrow \widehat{M}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ is bijective.*

Proof. Since M and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, M)$ are finitely generated, we need to show that $H_0^{\mathfrak{a}}(\epsilon_M): H_0^{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathrm{Hom}_R(\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, M)) \rightarrow H_0^{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ is bijective.

We will show that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(L(\mathfrak{a}), \epsilon_M)$ is a quasiisomorphism.

Let $\iota: M \xrightarrow{\simeq} J$ be an injective resolution.

$\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, M) = 0$ implies that $\text{Hom}_R(\widehat{R}, \iota)$ is a quasiisomorphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}_R(\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, M) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_M} & M \\
 \text{Hom}_R(\widehat{R}, \iota) \downarrow \simeq & \circlearrowleft & \simeq \downarrow \iota \\
 \text{Hom}_R(\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, J) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_J} & J
 \end{array}$$

Applying $\text{Hom}_R(L(\mathfrak{a}), -)$ yields the top half of the next diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}_R(L(\mathfrak{a}), \text{Hom}_R(\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, M)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_R(L(\mathfrak{a}), \epsilon_M)} & \text{Hom}_R(L(\mathfrak{a}), M) \\
 \text{Fact 1} \downarrow \simeq & \circlearrowleft & \simeq \downarrow \text{Fact 1} \\
 \text{Hom}_R(L(\mathfrak{a}), \text{Hom}_R(\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, J)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(L(\mathfrak{a}), J) \\
 \text{adjointness} \downarrow \cong & \circlearrowleft & \cong \downarrow \\
 \text{Hom}_R(L(\mathfrak{a}) \otimes_R \widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, J) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\text{Fact 2}} & \text{Hom}_R(L(\mathfrak{a}) \otimes_R R, J)
 \end{array}$$

□

Question. How many Ext-vanishings need to be checked?

Answer 1. Jensen's result implies $\text{Ext}_R^{>\dim(R)}(\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, M) = 0$, so one need only check $\text{Ext}_R^i(\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, M) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, \dim(R)$.

We can do slightly better.

Theorem D. *If M is an R -module, then $\text{Ext}_R^{>\dim(M)}(\widehat{R}^{\mathfrak{a}}, M) = 0$.*