

Ext¹: What is it good for?

Saeed Nasseh Sean Sather-Wagstaff

Department of Mathematics
North Dakota State University

13 September 2011
NDSU Mathematics Colloquium

Introduction

Goals

- 1 Give some indication of how various research areas think about modules.
- 2 Give an example of how algebraic information is encoded geometrically and how some geometric information is encoded algebraically.

Cast of Characters

- 1 Rings and Modules
- 2 Homological Algebra
- 3 Linear Algebra
- 4 Representation Theory
- 5 Group Theory
- 6 Algebraic Geometry
- 7 Algebraic Topology *

Rings and Modules

Assumption

Let R be a non-zero commutative ring with identity.

Example

- $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$
- Polynomial rings $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and quotients $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$

Slogan

To study a ring, study its modules.

Example

Vector space and abelian groups

Fact

Every R -module has a basis if and only if R is a field.

Homological Algebra, First View

Definition

A sequence of R -module homomorphisms $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C$ is **exact** if $\text{Ker}(\beta) = \text{Im}(\alpha)$.

Fact

Given an R -module L and a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$$

the induced sequence is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L, N') \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L, N'') \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(L, N') \cdots$$

Slogan

Ext measures the “exactness defect” on the right.

Assumption

R is a finite dimensional algebra over a field $F = \overline{F}$.

Facts

- 1 Every R -module has a “canonical” F -vector space structure by “restriction of scalars”.
- 2 Every non-zero F -vector space has many distinct R -module structures.

Example

Let $R = F[x]/(x(x-1))$ and $M = R/xR$ and $N = R/(x-1)R$. Then M and N are isomorphic over F , but not over R .

Homological Algebra, Second View

Definition

A short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N' \xrightarrow{f'} N \xrightarrow{f} N'' \rightarrow 0$ of R -module homomorphisms **splits** if there is an R -module homomorphism $g: N \rightarrow N'$ such that $g \circ f' = 1_{N'}$.

Facts

- 1 Every short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$ of R -modules splits over F , but not necessarily over R .
- 2 If $\text{Ext}_R^1(N'', N') = 0$, then every short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$ splits over R .
- 3 $\text{Ext}_R^1(N'', N')$ is (isomorphic to) the set of equivalence classes of short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$.

Slogan

Ext parameterizes “extensions”.

Representation Theory

Slogan

To study R -modules, fix $V = F^n$ and study all the ways to make V into an R -module.

Recall

An R -module structure on V is a bilinear map $m: R \times V \rightarrow V$ satisfying certain axioms (associative and unital).

Note

$R \times V$ and V are F -vector spaces, but m is not a linear transformation. This is one reason why we need tensor products.

More Linear Algebra

Construction

Let $b_1, \dots, b_d \in R$ and $e_1, \dots, e_n \in V$ be bases over F . The **tensor product** $R \otimes_F V$ is the vector space over F with basis $b_1 \otimes e_1, \dots, b_d \otimes e_n$.

Facts

- 1 The bilinear maps $R \times V \rightarrow V$ are in bijection with the linear maps $R \otimes_F V \rightarrow V$, i.e., the $n \times dn$ matrices over F .
- 2 An R -module structure on V is a matrix in $M_{n \times dn}(F)$ satisfying certain axioms (associative and unital).
- 3 Given variables x_{ij} to represent the entries of a matrix in $M_{n \times dn}(F)$, the R -module axioms are characterized by polynomial equations in the x_{ij} .

Notation

$\text{Mod}_R(V) \subseteq M_{n \times dn}(F)$ is the set of R -module structures on V . *

Group Theory

Question

When are two module structures in $\text{Mod}_R(V)$ isomorphic?

Notation

$\text{GL}_n(F)$ is the set of invertible $n \times n$ matrices over F .

Facts

- 1 $\text{GL}_n(F)$ acts on $\text{Mod}_R(V)$ by conjugation:
Given $\phi \in \text{GL}_n(F)$ and $\mu \in \text{Mod}_R(V)$, set

$$\phi \cdot \mu = \phi \circ \mu \circ (R \otimes_F \phi^{-1}).$$

- 2 Two module structures $\mu, \lambda \in \text{Mod}_R(V)$ are isomorphic over R if and only if $\lambda = \phi \cdot \mu$ for some $\phi \in \text{GL}_n(F)$.
- 3 The isomorphism classes in $\text{Mod}_R(V)$ are precisely the orbits under the action of $\text{GL}_n(F)$. *

Facts

- 1 The R -module axioms are defined by polynomial equations so $\text{Mod}_R(V)$ is a **closed** subset of $M_{n \times dn}(F) \cong F^{dn^2}$.
- 2 This is the definition of “closed” in the **Zariski topology**.
- 3 If $F = \mathbb{C}$, then it is closed in the euclidean topology.
- 4 $\text{GL}_n(F)$ is an open subset of $M_{n \times n}(F) \cong F^{n^2}$, and isomorphic to a closed subset of F^{n^2+1} .
- 5 The group operations in $\text{GL}_n(F)$ and the action of $\text{GL}_n(F)$ on $\text{Mod}_R(V)$ are defined by polynomial functions.
- 6 Each orbit in $\text{Mod}_R(V)$ is locally closed.
- 7 For $M \in \text{Mod}_R(V)$, there is an inclusion of tangent spaces

$$T_M^{\text{GL}_n(F) \cdot M} \subseteq T_M^{\text{Mod}_R(V)} .*$$

Homological Algebra, Third View

Theorem

Given $M \in \text{Mod}_R(V)$, there is an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{T}_M^{\text{Mod}_R(V)} / \mathbb{T}_M^{\text{GL}_n(F) \cdot M} \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(M, M).*$$

Corollary

Given $M \in \text{Mod}_R(V)$, the orbit $\text{GL}_n(F) \cdot M$ is open in $\text{Mod}_R(V)$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, M) = 0$.

Corollary

The set of isomorphism classes of R -modules M such that $\text{Hom}_R(M, M) \cong R$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, M) = 0$ is finite.

Question

How to prove the second corollary for rings that are not finite dimensional algebras over a field?

Answer

When R is local, replace R with an appropriate finite dimensional **differential graded (DG) F -algebra** U :

- 1 U is a **graded** commutative F -algebra $U = \bigoplus_{i=0}^e U_i$,
- 2 U has a **differential**, i.e., a sequence of R -linear maps $\partial_i^U: U_i \rightarrow U_{i-1}$ such that $\partial_i^U \partial_{i+1}^U = 0$ for all i , and
- 3 ∂^U satisfies the **Leibniz Rule**: for all $a_i \in U_i$ and $a_j \in U_j$

$$\partial_{i+j}^U(a_i a_j) = \partial_i^U(a_i) a_j + (-1)^i a_i \partial_j^U(a_j).$$

Note

The starting point for this replacement is to take the **Koszul complex** on a minimal generating sequence for the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$.

Solution

- 1 One needs to work with **DG** U -modules: U -modules with extra data (a differential that satisfies the Leibniz Rule), and one has to encode the extra data into the geometric object $\text{DGMod}_U(V)$.
- 2 One has to consider a product of GL's for the group action.
- 3 The quotient of tangent spaces is still isomorphic to an Ext-module, but it is the wrong Ext-module.
- 4 There are two distinct kinds of Ext over U ! DG-Ext corresponds to $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, M)$ under passage to U . Yoneda-Ext parametrizes extensions. They are not generally the same.
- 5 With a little work one can adjust things so that DG Ext-vanishing implies Yoneda Ext-vanishing, and the rest of the proof goes through. *

Remarks

- 1 Algebra does not exist in an algebraic vacuum.
- 2 Linear algebra is important.
- 3 Group actions are not only useful for the Algebra prelim.
- 4 Geometry can encode algebraic information.
- 5 Algebra can encode geometric information.
- 6 Sometime to prove a theorem about rings, you have to be flexible about your definition of “ring”.