

# Semidualizing Modules and Bass Numbers

Sean Sather-Wagstaff

Department of Mathematics  
North Dakota State University

05 March 2009

4th World Conference on 21st Century Mathematics  
Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences  
Lahore, Pakistan

arXiv:0812.0643

Throughout this talk  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is a commutative local artinian ring with unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and residue field  $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$ .

**Theme.** Module theory is representation theory for rings. Ring-theoretic information about  $R$  determines and is determined by information about the  $R$ -modules.

**Example.** The ring  $R$  is a field if and only if every  $R$ -module is free.

**Theme.** Patterns in homological invariants (numbers associated to  $R$ ) yield information about  $R$ .

**Theme.** Existence of nontrivial  $R$ -modules can force patterns to occur in homological invariants.

# Gorenstein Rings

**Fact.** The  $R$ -module  $R$  is always free, hence projective.

**Fact.** The  $R$ -module  $R$  is frequently not injective.

**Definition.** The ring  $R$  is **Gorenstein** if it is self-injective, that is, if it is injective as an  $R$ -module.

**Example.** Let  $k$  be a field.

(a) The ring  $k[[X]]/(X^m)$  is Gorenstein, as are the rings  $k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/(X_1^{m_1}, \dots, X_n^{m_n})$ .

(b) The ring  $k[X, Y]/(X^2, XY, Y^2)$  is not Gorenstein.

**Fact.** If  $R$  is Gorenstein, then  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0$  for every  $R$ -module  $M$  and every integer  $i \geq 1$ .

If  $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, R) = 0$  for some  $i \geq 1$ , then  $R$  is Gorenstein.

# Bass Numbers

**Definition.** The  $i$ th **Bass number** of  $R$  is the integer  $\mu^i = \mu_R^i(R) = \dim_k(\text{Ext}_R^i(k, R))$ .

**Fact.** If  $\mu^i = 0$  for some  $i \geq 1$ , then  $R$  is Gorenstein and hence  $\mu^i = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

**Theme.** Patterns in homological invariants (Bass numbers) yield information about  $R$ .

**Questions.** (Huneke)

- (a) If the sequence  $\{\mu^i\}_i$  is bounded, must  $R$  be Gorenstein?
- (b) If the sequence  $\{\mu^i\}_i$  is bounded by a polynomial in  $i$ , must  $R$  be Gorenstein?

Recent progress by Jorgensen and Leuschke; Christensen, Striuli and Veliche; Lorestani, Sather-Wagstaff and Yassemi.

**Fact.** Since  $R$  is artinian and local, there exists a unique indecomposable injective  $R$ -module  $E = E_R(k)$ .

We have  $\text{Hom}_R(E, E) \cong R$  and  $\text{Ext}_R^i(E, E) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

There exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow E^{\mu^0} \rightarrow E^{\mu^1} \rightarrow E^{\mu^2} \rightarrow \dots$$

called a minimal injective resolution of  $R$ .

Apply  $\text{Hom}_R(-, E)$  to find an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow R^{\mu^2} \rightarrow R^{\mu^1} \rightarrow R^{\mu^0} \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$$

which is a minimal free resolution of  $E$ .

So, the Bass numbers of  $R$  are the **Betti numbers** of  $E$ .

# Semidualizing Modules

In this setting  $E$  is a **dualizing module** for  $R$ , so-named because of its good duality properties: For every finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ , we have  $M \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, E), E)$ .

**Definition.** Let  $C$  be an  $R$ -module. The **homothety morphism** associated to  $C$  is the map

$$\chi_C^R: R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$$

given by  $\chi_C^R(r)(c) = rc$ .

**Definition.** (Foxby '72, Golod '84, Vasconcelos '74, Wakamatsu '88) An  $R$ -module  $C$  is **semidualizing** if:

- (1)  $C$  is finitely generated,
- (2)  $\chi_C^R: R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$  is an isomorphism; and
- (3)  $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, C) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

**Example.** The  $R$ -modules  $R$  and  $E$  are semidualizing.

# Semidualizing Modules, Properties

**Definition.** An  $R$ -module  $C$  is **semidualizing** if:

- (1)  $C$  is finitely generated,
- (2)  $\chi_C^R: R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$  is an isomorphism; and
- (3)  $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, C) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

**Example.** One has  $C \cong E$  if and only if  $C$  is semidualizing and injective (equivalently, has finite injective dimension).

One has  $C \cong R$  if and only if  $C$  is semidualizing and projective (equivalently, has finite projective dimension).

In other words, the only tilting  $R$ -module is  $R$ , and the only cotilting  $R$ -module is  $E$ .

**Fact.** The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $R$  is Gorenstein;
- (ii)  $R \cong E$ ; and
- (iii)  $R$  has only one semidualizing module, up to isomorphism.

# Semidualizing Modules, Examples

**Theme.** Module theory is representation theory for rings. Ring-theoretic information about  $R$  determines and is determined by information about the  $R$ -modules.

**Example.** Let  $k$  be a field.

The ring  $R = k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]](X_1^{m_1}, \dots, X_n^{m_n})$  has only one semidualizing module, up to isomorphism:  $R$ .

The ring  $R = k[X, Y]/(X^2, XY, Y^2)$  has exactly two non-isomorphic semidualizing modules:  $R$  and  $E$ .

The ring  $S = k[X, Y, Z, W]/(X^2, XY, Y^2, Z^2, ZW, W^2)$  has exactly four non-isomorphic semidualizing modules.

**Problem.** Characterize the local artinian rings with exactly two non-isomorphic semidualizing modules.



# Semidualizing Modules and Bass Numbers

**Theorem.** (SSW 2008) *If  $R$  has a semidualizing module  $C$  such that  $C \not\cong R$  and  $C \not\cong E$ , then the sequence  $\{\mu^i\}_i$  is unbounded.*

**Theme.** Existence of nontrivial  $R$ -modules can force patterns to occur in homological invariants.

*Proof.* Consider minimal free resolutions

$$\dots \rightarrow R^{a_2} \rightarrow R^{a_1} \rightarrow R^{a_0} \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

$$\dots \rightarrow R^{b_2} \rightarrow R^{b_1} \rightarrow R^{b_0} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, E) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $C \not\cong R$  and  $C \not\cong E$ , we have  $a_i, b_i \geq 1$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .

Since  $C$  is semidualizing, we have  $E \cong C \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(C, E)$  and  $\text{Tor}_i^R(C, \text{Hom}_R(C, E)) = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$ .

Hence, the minimal free resolution of  $E$  is the tensor product of the minimal free resolutions of  $C$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(C, E)$ .

It follows that  $\mu^i = \sum_{j=0}^i a_j b_j \geq i$ . □

# Chains of semidualizing modules

**Definition.** Let  $C$  be a semidualizing  $R$ -module. A finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$  is **totally  $C$ -reflexive** if:

- (1) The natural biduality map  $M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, C), C)$  is an isomorphism; and
- (2)  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_R(M, C), C)$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

**Example.** Every finite rank free module  $R^n$  is totally  $C$ -reflexive.

Every finitely generated  $R$ -module is totally  $E$ -reflexive.

**Definition.** Given semidualizing  $R$ -modules  $B$  and  $C$ , write  $C \trianglelefteq B$  whenever  $B$  is totally  $C$ -reflexive.

**Example.** For every  $C$ , one has  $E \trianglelefteq C \trianglelefteq R$ .

**Fact.** This ordering is reflexive and antisymmetric.

**Theorem.** (SSW 2008) *If  $R$  has a chain of semidualizing modules  $C_0 \triangleleft C_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft C_{d+1}$ , then the sequence  $\{\mu^i\}_i$  is bounded below by a polynomial in  $i$  of degree  $d$ .*

These ideas generalize to non-artinian rings and to more general semidualizing **complexes**.

The paper [arXiv:0812.0643](https://arxiv.org/abs/0812.0643) contains these results, with a survey of the derived category notions needed for the proofs of the results.