

Homotopy-theory techniques in commutative algebra

Sean Sather-Wagstaff

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Kent State University

09 January 2007

Departmental Colloquium
Joint with Lars W. Christensen
arXiv: `math.AC/0612301`

Outline

- 1 Free Resolutions
 - Rings and Modules
 - Examples
 - Resolutions of Modules
- 2 The Koszul Complex
 - Construction of the Koszul Complex
 - Differential Graded Algebra Structure on the Koszul Complex
- 3 An Application
 - Semidualizing Complexes
 - Descent of Semidualizing Complexes

Set-Up for the Talk

Throughout this talk, let R be a commutative ring with identity. Examples include:

- The rings of integers \mathbb{Z} and p -adic integers \mathbb{Z}_p
- A field k like \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} , or $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$
- Polynomial rings $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with coefficients in a commutative ring A with identity
- Rings of formal power series $A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$
- Quotient rings $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ and $A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]/J$

R -modules are objects that can be “acted upon” by the ring R . Modules are like vector spaces, but more interesting.

Examples of Modules

- If k is a field, then M is a k -module if and only if it is a k -vector space.
- M is a \mathbb{Z} -module if and only if it is an abelian group.
- If I is an ideal of R , then I is an R -module and so is the quotient R/I .

In a sense, modules unify the notions of “vector space,” “abelian group,” “ideal,” and “quotient by an ideal.”

- Consider the polynomial ring $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. If H is a Hilbert space and T_1, \dots, T_n are pairwise commuting operators on H , then H is an R -module

$$f\xi = f(T_1, \dots, T_n)\xi.$$

“Modules are like vector spaces, but more interesting.”

Justification of “more interesting”

An R -module is **free** if it has a basis. If F is free and has a finite basis e_1, \dots, e_r then $F \cong R^r$ and r is the **rank** of F .

Most R -modules are not free.

Example. If $n \geq 2$, then the \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ does not have a basis since $nb = 0$ for all $b \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$: linear independence fails.

More generally, if I is an ideal of R and $(0) \subsetneq I \subsetneq R$, then the R -module R/I does not have a basis.

In fact, R is a field if and only if every R -module has a basis.

This is a good thing! The complexity of the modules should mirror the complexity of the ring.

Finitely Generated Modules over a PID

Let R be a PID and M be a finitely generated R -module.

- M is finitely generated, so there is a surjection $\tau_0: R^r \twoheadrightarrow M$.
- $\text{Ker}(\tau_0)$ is a submodule of the free module R^r .
- Since R is a PID, there is an isomorphism $\text{Ker}(\tau_0) \cong R^s$.
- Hence, there is an **exact sequence**

$$0 \rightarrow R^s \xrightarrow{\iota} R^r \xrightarrow{\tau_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

meaning that the kernel of each map equals the image of the preceding map:

ι is injective, τ_0 is surjective, and $\text{Ker}(\tau_0) = \text{Im}(\iota)$.

- The map $\iota: R^s \rightarrow R^r$ is given by a matrix A . Linear algebra!

Resolutions: Use Linear Algebra to Study Modules

R is Noetherian and M is a finitely generated R -module.

For example, $R = A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ or $R = A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]/J$ where $A = k$ or $A = \mathbb{Z}$ or $A = \mathbb{Z}_p$

- There exists a surjection $\tau_0: R^{r_0} \rightarrow M$.
- R is Noetherian, so $\text{Ker}(\tau_0)$ is finitely generated.
- If $\text{Ker}(\tau_0)$ is free, then stop.
- If $\text{Ker}(\tau_0)$ is not free, then repeat.
- There exists a surjection $\tau_1: R^{r_1} \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\tau_0)$.
- The composition $R^{r_1} \xrightarrow{\tau_1} \text{Ker}(\tau_0) \rightarrow R^{r_0}$ is given by a matrix.
- Repeating the process yields a **free resolution** of M

$$\dots \xrightarrow{A_3} R^{r_2} \xrightarrow{A_2} R^{r_1} \xrightarrow{A_1} R^{r_0} \xrightarrow{\tau_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

which is an exact sequence that may or may not terminate.

A Computation of a Resolution

Example. $R = A[x, y]$ and $I = (x, y)R$ and $M = R/I$.

- $\tau_0: R \rightarrow R/I$ is the canonical surjection, and $\text{Ker}(\tau_0) = I$.
- A surjection $\tau_1: R^2 \rightarrow I$ is given by

$$\tau_1 \left(\begin{bmatrix} f \\ g \end{bmatrix} \right) = f \cdot x + g \cdot y.$$

- The relation $yx - xy = 0$ implies

$$\tau_1 \left(\begin{bmatrix} y \\ -x \end{bmatrix} \right) = yx - xy = 0 \implies \begin{bmatrix} y \\ -x \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Ker}(\tau_1).$$

- For all $f \in R$ we have $f \begin{bmatrix} y \\ -x \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Ker}(\tau_1)$, and furthermore

$$\text{Ker}(\tau_1) = R \begin{bmatrix} y \\ -x \end{bmatrix} \cong R.$$

- So $\text{Ker}(\tau_1)$ is free! This gives a free resolution

$$0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} y \\ -x \end{bmatrix}} R^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix}} R \xrightarrow{\tau_0} R/I \rightarrow 0$$

Example: Resolutions of R/I

$R = A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ or $R = A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ and $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)R$.

$n = 1$:

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 \xrightarrow{[x_1]} R^1 \xrightarrow{\tau_0} R/I \rightarrow 0$$

$n = 2$:

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ -x_1 \end{bmatrix}} R^2 \xrightarrow{[x_1 \ x_2]} R^1 \xrightarrow{\tau_0} R/I \rightarrow 0$$

$n = 3$:

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x_3 \\ -x_2 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix}} R^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x_2 & x_3 & 0 \\ -x_1 & 0 & x_3 \\ 0 & -x_1 & -x_2 \end{bmatrix}} R^3 \xrightarrow{[x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3]} R^1 \xrightarrow{\tau_0} R/I \rightarrow 0$$

Example: Resolutions of R/I

$R = A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ or $R = A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ and $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)R$.

$n = 1$:

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 \xrightarrow{[x_1]} R^1 \xrightarrow{\tau_0} R/I \rightarrow 0$$

$n = 2$:

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ -x_1 \end{bmatrix}} R^2 \xrightarrow{[x_1 \ x_2]} R^1 \xrightarrow{\tau_0} R/I \rightarrow 0$$

$n = 3$:

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x_3 \\ -x_2 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix}} R^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x_2 & x_3 & 0 \\ -x_1 & 0 & x_3 \\ 0 & -x_1 & -x_2 \end{bmatrix}} R^3 \xrightarrow{[x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3]} R^1 \xrightarrow{\tau_0} R/I \rightarrow 0$$

Binomial coefficients!

Exterior Powers

How the Binomial Coefficients Arise

Fix an integer $n \geq 1$ and consider the free module R^n .

- Let $e_1, \dots, e_n \in R^n$ be the standard basis.
- For each integer d the d th **exterior power** of R^n is the free R -module $\wedge^d R^n \cong R^{\binom{n}{d}}$ with basis

$$\{e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_d} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_d \leq n\}.$$

$\wedge^d R^n$	$d = 4$	$d = 3$	$d = 2$	$d = 1$	$d = 0$	$d = -1$
$n = 1$			0	R^1	R^1	0
$n = 2$		0	R^1	R^2	R^1	0
$n = 3$	0	R^1	R^3	R^3	R^1	0
basis		$\{e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge e_{i_3}\}$	$\{e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2}\}$	$\{e_i\}$	$\{1\}$	

Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$ and set $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)R$.

The Augmented Koszul Complex

Define homomorphisms $\partial_d^K: \wedge^d R^n \rightarrow \wedge^{d-1} R^n$ for $d = 1, \dots, n$.

$$0 \longrightarrow R \binom{n}{\text{deg } n} \xrightarrow{\partial_n^K} R \binom{n-1}{\text{deg } n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}^K} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_2^K} R \binom{n}{\text{deg } 1} \xrightarrow{\partial_1^K} R \binom{n}{\text{deg } 0} \xrightarrow{\tau_0} R/I \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\partial_1^K(e_i) = x_i \quad R^n \rightarrow R$$

$$\partial_2^K(e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2}) = x_{i_1} e_{i_2} - x_{i_2} e_{i_1} \quad R \binom{n}{2} \rightarrow R^n$$

$$\partial_d^K(e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_d}) = \sum_{j=1}^d (-1)^{j+1} x_{i_j} e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{e}_{i_j} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_d}$$

- $\partial_{d-1}^K \circ \partial_d^K = 0$ for $d = 2, \dots, n$ so this is a **chain complex**.
- $\text{Ker}(\tau_0) = I = \text{Im}(\partial_1^K)$
- If $R = A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, this is the free resolution of R/I .

The Wedge Product

The Koszul complex $K^R = K^R(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the chain complex

$$0 \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}^K} R^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\partial_n^K} R^{(n-1)} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}^K} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_2^K} R^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\partial_1^K} R^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\partial_0^K} 0$$

The wedge product provides a product on the Koszul complex.

$$(\wedge^d R^n) \times (\wedge^{d'} R^n) \rightarrow \wedge^{d+d'} R^n \quad (v, w) \mapsto v \wedge w =: vw$$

This gives rise to elements of the form

$$(e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_d})(e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_{d'}}) = e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_d} \wedge e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_{d'}}$$

which are **not** necessarily basis elements because the subscripts may not be in strictly ascending order.

Use the following two relations:

$$e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_j} \wedge e_{j_{j+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{d+d'}} = -e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_{j+1}} \wedge e_{i_j} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{d+d'}}$$

$$e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_j} \wedge e_{i_j} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{d+d'}} = 0$$

Differential Graded Algebras

The Homotopy-Theoretic Methods

Basic properties. For $v \in \wedge^d R^n$ and $w \in \wedge^{d'} R^n$

- $wv = (-1)^{dd'} vw$
- $v^2 = 0$ when d is odd
- Leibniz Rule: $\partial_{d+d'}^K(vw) = \partial_d^K(v)w - (-1)^d v\partial_{d'}^K(w)$

This gives the Koszul complex the structure of a **differential graded commutative algebra** or **DG algebra** for short.

- The ring R is a DG algebra concentrated in degree 0.
- The natural map $R \rightarrow K^R$ is a DG algebra homomorphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 R & & & & 0 & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow \iota & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\
 K^R & & & & 0 & \longrightarrow & R^{(n)} & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & R^{(1)} & \longrightarrow & R^{(0)} & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Differential Graded Algebras (cont.)

Compatibility with Ring Homomorphisms

- A ring homomorphism $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ induces a DG algebra homomorphism $K^R(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow K^S(\varphi(x_1), \dots, \varphi(x_n))$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 K^R & & 0 & \longrightarrow & R^{(n)} & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & R^{(1)} & \longrightarrow & R^{(0)} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow K^\varphi & & & & \downarrow \varphi^{(n)} & & & & \downarrow \varphi^{(1)} & & \downarrow \varphi^{(0)} & & \\
 K^S & & 0 & \longrightarrow & S^{(n)} & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & S^{(1)} & \longrightarrow & S^{(0)} & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

- The maps ι and K^φ make the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R & \xrightarrow{\iota} & K^R \\
 \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow K^\varphi \\
 S & \xrightarrow{\iota} & K^S
 \end{array}$$

The Homothety Homomorphism

Let C be a chain complex over R .

- The **i th homology module** of C is the R -module $H_i(C) = \text{Ker}(\partial_i^C) / \text{Im}(\partial_{i+1}^C)$.
- The **endomorphism complex** of C is denoted $\text{End}(C)$.
- For each $r \in R$ there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial_{j+1}^C} & C_j & \xrightarrow{\partial_j^C} & C_{j-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{j-1}^C} & \dots \\
 & & \downarrow r \cdot & & \downarrow r \cdot & & \\
 \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial_{j+1}^C} & C_j & \xrightarrow{\partial_j^C} & C_{j-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{j-1}^C} & \dots
 \end{array}$$

The homothety $C \xrightarrow{r \cdot} C$ is in $\text{Ker} \left(\partial_0^{\text{End}(C)} \right) \subseteq \text{End}(C)_0$.

- The map $R \rightarrow H_0(\text{End}(C))$ given by $r \mapsto (C \xrightarrow{r \cdot} C)$ is an R -module homomorphism.

Semidualizing Complexes

A chain complex C over R is **semidualizing** if

- each C_i is a free R -module of finite rank,
- $C_i = 0$ for each $i < 0$,
- $H_i(C) = 0$ for $i \gg 0$,
- $R \cong H_0(\text{End}(C))$, and
- $H_i(\text{End}(C)) = 0$ for each $i \neq 0$.

Example. R is semidualizing.

Example. A dualizing complex is semidualizing.

Semidualizing complexes arise in the study of the homological algebra of ring homomorphisms, e.g., in the composition question for local ring homomorphisms of finite G-dimension.

Much of my recent research has been devoted to the analysis of the set of semidualizing complexes.

The Completion of a Local Ring

R is a local noetherian ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . For $r, s \in R$

$$\text{ord}(r) = \sup\{n \geq 0 \mid r \in \mathfrak{m}^n\} \quad \text{dist}(r, s) = 2^{-\text{ord}(r-s)}$$

The function $\text{dist}(-, -)$ is a metric on R . The topological completion of R is denoted \widehat{R} .

\widehat{R} is a noetherian local ring equipped with a canonical ring homomorphism $\varphi_R: R \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ and maximal ideal $\varphi_R(\mathfrak{m})\widehat{R}$.

Example. If $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)} / (f_1, \dots, f_m)$, then $\widehat{R} \cong k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] / (f_1, \dots, f_m)$.

If $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)R$, then the induced map on Koszul complexes $K^R \rightarrow K^{\widehat{R}}$ is a homology isomorphism.

Ascent of Semidualizing Complexes

A semidualizing complex over R has the following shape.

$$C = \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_3^C} R^{\beta_2} \xrightarrow{\partial_2^C} R^{\beta_1} \xrightarrow{\partial_1^C} R^{\beta_0} \rightarrow 0$$

The **completion** of C is a semidualizing complex over \widehat{R} .

$$\widehat{C} = C \otimes_R \widehat{R} = \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_3^C} \widehat{R}^{\beta_2} \xrightarrow{\partial_2^C} \widehat{R}^{\beta_1} \xrightarrow{\partial_1^C} \widehat{R}^{\beta_0} \rightarrow 0$$

Problem. Provide conditions on R such that every semidualizing complex over \widehat{R} is isomorphic to one of the form \widehat{C} .

R has the **approximation property** when, for every finite system of polynomial equations $S = \{f_i(X_1, \dots, X_N) = 0\}_{i=1}^t$, if S has a solution in \widehat{R} , then it has a solution in R .

A Descent Theorem for Semidualizing Complexes

Theorem. (L.W.Christensen-SSW, 2006) *If R has the approximation property, then every semidualizing complex over \widehat{R} is isomorphic to \widehat{C} for some semidualizing complex C over R .*

Sketch of Proof. Let B be a semidualizing complex over \widehat{R} .

Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$ be a minimal generating set for \mathfrak{m} .

Set $K^R = K^R(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $K^{\widehat{R}} = K^{\widehat{R}}(\varphi_R(x_1), \dots, \varphi_R(x_n))$.

The map $\varphi_R: R \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ provides a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 C & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \widehat{C} & \cong & B \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & R \longrightarrow & \widehat{R} & & \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \\
 & K^R \longrightarrow & K^{\widehat{R}} & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \widehat{C} \otimes_{\widehat{R}} K^{\widehat{R}} \cong & & B \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A \otimes_{K^R} K^{\widehat{R}} \cong & & B \otimes_{\widehat{R}} K^{\widehat{R}}
 \end{array}$$

QED

Summary

- Free resolutions allow us to use linear algebra to study modules that are not free.
- The DG algebra structure on the Koszul complex allows us to solve certain problems about commutative rings by leaving the realm of rings.
- Outlook
 - **Question.** Is the set of isomorphism classes of semidualizing complexes over R a finite set?
 - The proof of a special case suggests that one needs to build a deformation theory for DG algebras.

Tak!

The Augmented Koszul Complex May Not Be Exact.

Example. Set $R = k[X_1, X_2]/(X_1 X_2)$ and let $x_i = \overline{X_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$. The relation $x_1 x_2 = 0$ in R makes the augmented Koszul complex non-exact in degree 1.

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 \xrightarrow{\partial_2^K = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ -x_1 \end{bmatrix}} R^2 \xrightarrow{\partial_1^K = [x_1 \ x_2]} R^1 \xrightarrow{\tau_0} R/I \rightarrow 0$$

The vectors $\begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix}$ are in $\text{Ker}(\partial_1^K)$ because

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

However, $\begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix} \notin \text{Im}(\partial_2^K)$.

Again, this is good! The complexity of the Koszul complex should mirror the complexity of the sequence x_1, \dots, x_n .

The Endomorphism Complex

Let C be a chain complex over R .

The **endomorphism complex** of C is the chain complex $\text{End}(C)$:

modules $\text{End}(C)_i = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_R(C_j, C_{i+j}) \ni (\psi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$

elements

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \cdots & \xrightarrow{\partial_{j+1}^C} & C_j & \xrightarrow{\partial_j^C} & C_{j-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{j-1}^C} & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow \psi_j & & \downarrow \psi_{j-1} & & \\
 \cdots & \xrightarrow{\partial_{j+i+1}^C} & C_{j+i} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{j+i}^C} & C_{j+i-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{j+i-1}^C} & \cdots
 \end{array}$$

differentials $\partial_i^{\text{End}(C)}: \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_R(C_j, C_{i+j}) \rightarrow \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_R(C_j, C_{i+j-1})$

$$\partial_i^{\text{End}(C)}((\psi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}) = (\partial_{i+j}^C \circ \psi_j - (-1)^i \psi_{j-1} \circ \partial_j^C)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$$